

The IEEE committee representative also fails to present concrete data concerning interference. To quote:

“A study of modulated interference and PLC receiver characteristics have not been presented. Previous studies relating GWEN and PLC are not directly extensible to amateur radio and PLC.”

He decries the lack of data and doesn't provide data to substantiate his fears. While he dismisses the ARRL response as lacking data, he is exactly guilty of the same offense.

Further, the representative also demands that the ARRL and AMRAD provide penalties for interference when such penalties are clearly spelled out in the FCC regulations.

Finally, there is the question of impact. One of the purposes for the Amateur Service is to increase the scientific and engineering capabilities of the nation. And so, trying to quantify how many amateurs would benefit is not the issue — the issue is whether scientific and engineering knowledge would increase in this very interesting band. And how could it not?

In conclusion, reason should prevail over hysterical braying.

Respectfully submitted,

(Professor) Mark Kahrs, PhD.
Amateur License KB2VSQ
5639 Bartlett Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15217